

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,270	12/16/2004	David Keith Roberts	NL020547US	3558
	7590 12/17/200 LLECTUAL PROPER		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 3001		OSCAR A		
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2136		
			NAME DATE	DEL HARRY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/518,270	ROBERTS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Oscar A. Louie	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 October 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	, 				
	•				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11 and 17-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11 and 17-27 is/are rejected. 	• •				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication for a line in the pa	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTC-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

This first non-final action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination filing of 10/12/2007. Claims 1-9, 11, & 17-27 are pending and have been considered as follows.

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 4, 6, 22, & 27 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Claim 4 lines 4-6 recites, "said second field is a field comprising a slice of at least one
 consecutive horizontal line of a plurality of said horizontal lines comprising said frame of
 said audio-visual signal," which appears to have meant to be omitted.
 - Claim 6 line 2 recites, "said first and second fields," which appears to have been meant as "portions" or "frame portions."
 - Claim 22 line 1 recites the term, "for," which should be "...configured to...embed..."
 - Claims 27 line 2 recites the term, "for," which should be "...configured to..."

Appropriate correction is required.

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 11, 22, 23, & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Davis</u> (US-5907619-A) in view of <u>Gennaro et al.</u> (US-6009176-A).

Claims 1, 22, & 27:

<u>Davis</u> discloses a method, an apparatus, and a computer readable media having thereon the following computer executable instructions of embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions comprising,

- "calculating a signature based on the stored first frame portion of said frame of said audio-visual signal" (i.e. "FIG. 3 is an illustrative block diagram showing another embodiment of the SCSD 100 that allows authentication of individual sections of an image frame, using only one signature") [column 5 lines 10-13];

but, does not disclose,

- "storing a first frame portion of said audio-visual signal, thereby allowing for a reduced memory requirement relative to storing an entire frame of said audio-visual signal," although Gennaro et al. do suggest reducing computation time by reducing the number of digital signatures and only storing a small amount of information from the data stream for processing at a time (i.e. storing a portion), as recited below;
- "embedding the signature in one of said at least two frame portions of said frame of said audio-visual signal," although Gennaro et al. do suggest the embedding of authentication information inside a frame/field, as recited below;

however, Gennaro et al. do disclose,

- "It is therefore an object of this invention to reduce computation time necessary to sign and authenticate a stream of data by reducing the number of digital signatures required for one to authenticate the data stream. The foregoing is accomplished without having to resort to storing large portions of the data stream and without maintaining excessively large authentication tables... the receiver has a "small" buffer..." [column 2 lines 30-36, 49];
- "In the case of MPEG video and audio, there are several methods for embedding authentication data. Firstly the Video Elementary stream has a USER-DATA section for putting arbitrary user defined information and this section could be embedded in each frame (or field)" [column 12 lines 54-57];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "storing a first frame portion of said audio-visual signal, thereby allowing for a reduced memory requirement relative to storing an entire frame of said audio-visual signal" and "embedding the signature in one of said at least two frame portions of said frame of said audio-visual signal," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> for the purposes of embedded authentication with reduced computation time and storage capacity.

Claim 11:

<u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 1 above, but <u>Davis</u> does not disclose,

- "the steps of calculating and embedding said signature are performed in real-time," although Gennaro et al. do suggest fast computations resulting in real-time playback, as recited below;

however, Gennaro et al. do disclose,

- "the receiver has processing power or hardware that can compute a small number of fast cryptographic checksums faster than the incoming stream rate while still being able to play the stream in real-time" [column 2 lines 51-54];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "the steps of calculating and embedding said signature are performed in real-time," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> for the purposes of playing the stream in real-time.

Claim 23:

<u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> disclose an apparatus for embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 22 above, but <u>Davis</u> does not disclose,

- "said means for calculating and means for embedding are performed while said first portion is stored in said memory storage device," although Gennaro et al. do suggest the usage of a smaller buffer, as recited below;

however, Gennaro et al. do disclose,

- "the receiver has a "small" buffer" [column 2 line 49];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "storing a first frame portion of said audio-visual signal, thereby allowing for a reduced memory requirement relative to storing an entire frame of said audio-visual signal," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> for the purposes of reduced computation time and storage capacity.

4. Claims 2, 4, 5, 17-21, & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Davis</u> (US-5907619-A) in view of <u>Gennaro et al.</u> (US-6009176-A) and in further view of <u>Baker et al.</u> (US-5790110-A).

Claims 2 & 4:

<u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 1 above, but their combination do not disclose,

- "said at least two frame portions of said frame of said audio-visual signal respectively comprise patterns of horizontal lines of said frame of said audio-visual signal," although Baker et al. do suggest that in interlaced video, fields or portions of fields are comprised of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited below;
- "said at least two frame portions of said audio-visual signal comprise a slice of at least one consecutive line of a plurality of horizontal lines comprising said frame of said audio-visual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest that in interlaced video, fields or portions of fields are comprised of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited below;
- "said second field is a field comprising a slice of at least one consecutive horizontal line of a plurality of said horizontal lines comprising said frame of said audio-visual signal," although Baker et al. do suggest that in interlaced video, fields or portions of fields are comprised of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited below;

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

however, Baker et al. do disclose,

- "After writing composite pixel data for sixteen (16) video pixels on four adjacent lines, the software according to the first embodiment checks to see if a horizontal line of composite pixel data has been completed...This process continues until a complete screen of composite pixel data has been written into the display memory (which with interlacing includes both an even and odd field)" [column 18 lines 59-60 & column 19 line 10];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said at least two frame portions of said frame of said audiovisual signal respectively comprise patterns of horizontal lines of said frame of said audio-visual signal" and "said at least two frame portions of said audio-visual signal comprise a slice of at least one consecutive line of a plurality of horizontal lines comprising said frame of said audiovisual signal" and "said second field is a field comprising a slice of at least one consecutive horizontal line of a plurality of said horizontal lines comprising said frame of said audio-visual signal," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since the make up of fields/portions of fields comprise even and odd horizontal lines, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to follow similar interlaced video standards.

Claim 5:

<u>Davis</u>, <u>Gennaro et al.</u>, and <u>Baker et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audiovisual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 4 above, but the combination of <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> do not disclose,

- "said audio-visual signal is an interlaced signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest that a video signal may be interlaced, as recited below;
- "said first portion comprises one of all even or all odd lines," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest fields or portions of a field comprising even and odd lines, as recited below;
- "said second portion comprises all remaining odd or even lines not included in said first portion," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest fields or portions of a field comprising even and odd lines, as recited below;

however, Baker et al. do disclose,

- "A "Field" is video data for a set of lines that make up part of one screen or picture out of a video stream. For interlaced systems, a frame usually has two fields" [column 5 lines 15-16];
- "For interlaced systems, a frame usually has two fields. One field contains the evennumbered lines of video data while the other field is made up of the odd-numbered lines of video data" [column 5 lines 17-19];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said audio-visual signal is an interlaced signal" and "said first portion comprises one of all even or all odd lines" and "said second portion comprises all remaining odd or even lines not included in said first portion," in the invention as disclosed by Davis and Gennaro et al. since a video signal may be interlaced where fields or portions of a field comprise even and odd lines, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to have one portion made up of even lines and another portion made up of odd lines.

Claim 17:

<u>Davis</u>, <u>Gennaro et al.</u>, and <u>Baker et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audiovisual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 1 above, but the combination of <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> do not disclose,

- "the first and second portions are selected based on said audio-visual signal being one of an interlaced or a noninterlaced signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest interlaced and noninterlaced video, as recited below;

however, Baker et al. do disclose,

- "In NTSC, PAL and SECAM, every other scan line belongs to the same field. Each field is drawn on the screen consecutively--first one field, then the other. Many computer monitors, on the other hand, are non-interlaced and the graphics data is not provided in separate fields" [column 2 lines 17-22];

10/518,270

Art Unit: 2136

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "the first and second portions are selected based on said audiovisual signal being one of an interlaced or a noninterlaced signal," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since a video signal may be interlaced with data in separate fields or noninterlaced data not in separate fields as in accordance with a standard, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to have either standardize video type.

Claim 18:

<u>Davis</u>, <u>Gennaro et al.</u>, and <u>Baker et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audiovisual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 17 above, but the combination of <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> do not disclose,

- "said audio-visual signal is said interlaced signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest that a video signal may be interlaced, as recited below;
- "said first portion comprising an upper field of said frame of said audio-visual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest fields or portions of a field comprising even and odd lines, as recited below;
- "said second portion comprising a lower field of said frame of said audio-visual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest fields or portions of a field comprising even and odd lines, as recited below;

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

however, Baker et al. do disclose,

- "A "Field" is video data for a set of lines that make up part of one screen or picture out of a video stream. For interlaced systems, a frame usually has two fields" [column 5 lines 15-16];

- "In an interlaced system, each field is displayed in its entirety--therefore, all of the odd-numbered lines are displayed, then the even, then the odd, and so on" [column 5 lines 19-22];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said audio-visual signal is said interlaced signal" and "said first portion comprising an upper field of said frame of said audio-visual signal" and "said second portion comprising a lower field of said frame of said audio-visual signal," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since a video signal may be interlaced where fields or portions of a field comprise even and odd lines, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to have one upper portion made up of even lines and another lower portion made up of odd lines or any combination there of.

Claim 19:

<u>Davis</u>, <u>Gennaro et al.</u>, and <u>Baker et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audiovisual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 18 above, but the combination of <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> do not disclose,

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

- "said upper and lower portions comprise patterns of horizontal lines of said audio-visual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest that in interlaced video, fields or portions of fields are comprised of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited below;
- "each of said patterns of horizontal lines having fewer lines than the entire audiovisual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest that in interlaced video, fields or portions of fields are comprised of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited below;

however, Baker et al. do disclose,

- "After writing composite pixel data for sixteen (16) video pixels on four adjacent lines, the software according to the first embodiment checks to see if a horizontal line of composite pixel data has been completed...This process continues until a complete screen of composite pixel data has been written into the display memory (which with interlacing includes both an even and odd field)" [column 18 lines 59-60 & column 19 line 10];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said upper and lower portions comprise patterns of horizontal lines of said audio-visual signal" and "each of said patterns of horizontal lines having fewer lines than the entire audiovisual signal," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since the make up of fields/portions of fields comprise even and odd horizontal lines, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to follow similar interlaced video standards.

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

Claim 20:

<u>Davis</u>, <u>Gennaro et al.</u>, and <u>Baker et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audiovisual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 17 above, but the combination of <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> do not disclose,

- "said audio-visual signal is said non-interlaced signal" although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest noninterlaced video, as recited below;
- "said first portion comprising an upper half of said frame of said audio-visual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest field portions (i.e. upper/lower), as recited below;
- "said second portion comprises a lower half of said single frame," although <u>Baker et al.</u>
 do suggest field portions (i.e. upper/lower), as recited below;

however, Baker et al. do disclose,

"It will also be readily apparent that for a non-interlaced video format, even and odd
fields and even and odd video control information are not required and may be replaced
with single vertical control information and field portions of the memory" [column 20
lines 32-36];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said audio-visual signal is said non-interlaced signal" and "said first portion comprising an upper half of said frame of said audio-visual signal" and "said second portion comprises a lower half of said single frame," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since a video signal may be noninterlaced where fields or portions of a field may

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

comprise even and odd lines, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to have one upper portion made up of even lines and another lower portion made up of odd lines or any combination there of.

Claim 21:

<u>Davis</u>, <u>Gennaro et al.</u>, and <u>Baker et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audiovisual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 20 above, but the combination of <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> do not disclose,

- "each of said upper and lower halves of said frame comprise patterns of horizontal lines
 of said audio-visual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest that in interlaced video,
 fields or portions of fields are comprised of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited
 below;
- "said respective patterns having fewer lines than the entire audio-visual signal," although

 Baker et al. do suggest that in interlaced video, fields or portions of fields are comprised

 of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited below;

however, Baker et al. do disclose,

- "After writing composite pixel data for sixteen (16) video pixels on four adjacent lines, the software according to the first embodiment checks to see if a horizontal line of composite pixel data has been completed...This process continues until a complete

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

screen of composite pixel data has been written into the display memory (which with interlacing includes both an even and odd field)" [column 18 lines 59-60 & column 19 line 10];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "each of said upper and lower halves of said frame comprise patterns of horizontal lines of said audio-visual signal" and "said respective patterns having fewer lines than the entire audio-visual signal," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since the make up of fields/portions of fields comprise even and odd horizontal lines, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to follow similar interlaced video standards.

Claim 24:

<u>Davis</u>, <u>Gennaro et al.</u>, and <u>Baker et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audiovisual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 23 above, but the combination of <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> do not disclose,

- "said first and second portions comprise patterns of horizontal lines of said audio-visual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest that in interlaced video, fields or portions of fields are comprised of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited below;
- "said patterns having fewer lines than the entire audio-visual signal," although <u>Baker et al.</u> do suggest that in interlaced video, fields or portions of fields are comprised of even and odd horizontal lines, as recited below;

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

however, Baker et al. do disclose,

- "After writing composite pixel data for sixteen (16) video pixels on four adjacent lines, the software according to the first embodiment checks to see if a horizontal line of composite pixel data has been completed...This process continues until a complete screen of composite pixel data has been written into the display memory (which with interlacing includes both an even and odd field)" [column 18 lines 59-60 & column 19 line 10];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said first and second portions comprise patterns of horizontal lines of said audio-visual signal" and "said patterns having fewer lines than the entire audio-visual signal," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u> and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since the make up of fields/portions of fields comprise even and odd horizontal lines, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to follow similar interlaced video standards.

5. Claims 6, 25, & 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Davis</u> (US-5907619-A) in view of <u>Gennaro et al.</u> (US-6009176-A) and in further view of <u>Kwon</u> (US-5373323-A).

Claim 6:

<u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 1 above, but their combination do not disclose,

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

- "said audio-visual signal is a non-interlaced signal," although <u>Kwon</u> does suggest non-interlaced or progressive scan video, as recited below;
- "said first and second fields comprise consecutive slices of said audio-visual signal," although Kwon does suggest consecutive horizontal lines within each frame, as recited below:
- "wherein each of said consecutive slices are further comprised of at least one consecutive line of said frame," although <u>Kwon</u> does suggest consecutive horizontal lines within each frame, as recited below;

however, Kwon does disclose,

"On the other hand, in the non-interlaced or "progressive" scan method, each frame of image data is scanned once from the top horizontal line to the bottom horizontal line without any jumping" [column 1 lines 25-28];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said audio-visual signal is a non-interlaced signal" and "said first and second fields comprise consecutive slices of said audio-visual signal" and "wherein each of said consecutive slices are further comprised of at least one consecutive line of said frame," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since a video signal may be noninterlaced where portions of a frame may comprise consecutive horizontal lines, it is reasonable to expect one of ordinary skill in the art to have progressive scanning if they do not require even and odd line portions.

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

Claims 25 & 26:

<u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 22 above, but their combination do not disclose,

- "said apparatus is a camera," although <u>Kwon</u> does suggest a television camera, as recited below;
- the camera is selected from the group consisting of: a surveillance camera, a security camera, a digital video camera and a medical imaging camera," although <u>Kwon</u> does suggest a television camera, as recited below;

however, Kwon does disclose,

- "a television camera" [column 1 line 29];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said apparatus is a camera" and "the camera is selected from the group consisting of: a surveillance camera, a security camera, a digital video camera and a medical imaging camera," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> since a video signal would imply the usage of some form of video content recording device (i.e. camera).

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

6. Claims 3 & 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Davis</u> (US-5907619-A) in view of <u>Gennaro et al.</u> (US-6009176-A) and in further view of <u>Isnardi et al.</u> (US-6037984-A).

Claim 3:

<u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 1 above, but their combination do not disclose,

- "said steps of calculating- and embedding are repeated until a signature is embedded for all regions of said frame," although <u>Isnardi et al.</u> does suggest spreading a watermark over several portions, as recited below;

however, Isnardi et al. does disclose,

- "The image that is watermarked is generally divided into a plurality of blocks of pixels, where each block is watermarked in the above manner on a block-by-block basis" [column 2 lines 27-30];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said steps of calculating- and embedding are repeated until a signature is embedded for all regions of said frame," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> for the purposes of limiting the amount of modification to the original video signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,270

Art Unit: 2136

Claims 7 & 8:

<u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 1 above, but their combination do not disclose,

- "the embedded signature comprises a watermark," although <u>Isnardi et al.</u> does suggest a spread spectrum watermark, as recited below;
- "the watermark is embedded as a spread spectrum watermark," although <u>Isnardi et al.</u>
 does suggest a spread spectrum watermark, as recited below;

however, Isnardi et al. does disclose,

- "One technique used to identify digital image ownership is a digital "watermark" that is embedded into an image or image sequence" [column 1 lines 15-16];
- "the spread watermark" [column 1 line 44];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "said steps of calculating- and embedding are repeated until a signature is embedded for all regions of said frame," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> for the purposes of identifying digital image ownership.

Claim 9:

<u>Davis</u>, and <u>Gennaro et al.</u> disclose a method of embedding a signature in an audio-visual signal for authentication of said audio-visual signal, said signal being comprised of a plurality of sequential frames, each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions, as in Claim 7 above, their combination further disclosing,

"the watermark is embedded in a different portion of said frame than the portion of said frame for which said signature is generated" (i.e. "authentication of individual sections of an image frame, using only one signature," which suggests that the watermark would be embedded only in one of the plurality of portions/fields) [column 5 lines 12-13].

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11, & 17-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, as necessitated by the application's amendments.

In particular, the amendments which recite, "each of said plurality of sequential frames being comprised of at least two frame portions," and "at least two frame portions," with consideration of the applicant's arguments on pages 9-13, necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection.

In light of the applicant's amendments and arguments on pages 8-9, the examiner hereby withdraws his previous 35 U.S.C 112 6th paragraph rejection regarding Claims 22 & 23, withdraws his previous Claim Objection regarding Claim 14, and withdraws his previous 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph rejection regarding Claims 18-21.

10/518,270 Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Oscar Louie whose telephone number is 571-270-1684.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami, can be reached at 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2100 is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OAL 12/12/2007 Nasser Moazzami Supervisory Patent Examiner

12,13,07